

General discussions


User single entry point

Improvements in:

Criteria for:

Most important :


Pan-European :



Scientific matters



Infrastructure matters



Computing matters

Summary

-Advises:

-Cloning brings very quickly different systems because people quickly needs specificities.

- Top management has to decide.

- We need common user database.

- What we have to put in common.

- Perhaps only a subset of an SMIS system can be put in common (users, sample descriptions,)

- Single entry point means common software application.

-A common site must profit to users.

-10% of common users (between ESRF & ILL)

-Join proposal is growing currently thanks to in-house research made on both instruments (neutron & synchrotron).

-Scientists on site should show the benefit to work with both institutes.

-Ideas:

- Why not a Common web page? Or why not a kind of umbrella to first focus on topics rather than institutes?

-Common system

-Submit in the same system for both institutes a proposal.

-To use the same ID provided by the site entrance to identify users. Rather to have separate numbers.

-Conclusion

Conclusions

- - User single entry point for ILL & ESRF users means to try and avoid duplication of infrastructures and software applications.
- - Communication between the two institutes needs to keep on... even more ...to be reinforced
- - In-house research must experiment and promote experiments combining ILL and ESRF facilities.

Conclusions Contd.

- We have to find a way to merge a part or the whole software application called SMIS or Visitors Club.
- We really have to learn from other institutes
- We need to have a pan-European view for collaborations and sharing of data.